

Homogeneous and Locally Homogeneous Solutions to Symplectic Curvature Flow

Julian Pook
Université Libre de Bruxelles

February 8, 2012

Abstract

In [1] J. Streets and G. Tian introduce symplectic curvature flow (SCF), a geometric flow on almost Kähler manifolds generalising Kähler–Ricci flow. The present article gives examples of explicit solutions to SCF of non-Kähler structures on several nilmanifolds and on twistor fibrations over hyperbolic space studied by J. Fine and D. Panov in [2]. The latter lead to examples of non-Kähler static solutions of SCF which can be seen as analogues of Kähler–Einstein manifolds in Kähler–Ricci flow.

1 Introduction

The aim of this article is to present explicit non-Kähler solutions to symplectic curvature flow recently introduced by J. Streets and G. Tian in [1]. Symplectic curvature flow on an almost Kähler manifold (M^{2n}, ω_0, J_0) is given by a system of coupled evolution PDEs for the symplectic structure ω and the almost complex structure J with initial conditions $\omega(0) = \omega_0$, $J(0) = J_0$. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \omega &= -2P \\ \partial_t J &= -2g^{-1} \left[P^{(2,0)+(0,2)} \right] + \mathcal{R}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, P denotes the Chern–Ricci form given by $2i$ times the curvature of the Chern connection on the almost anti-canonical bundle $\Lambda^{n,0}(TM)$ and $P^{(2,0)+(0,2)}$ is the sum of the $(2,0)$ and $(0,2)$ part of P . The musical isomorphism g^{-1} raises the second index, i.e. $g(g^{-1}P^{(2,0)+(0,2)}\xi, \eta) = P^{(2,0)+(0,2)}(\xi, \eta)$. Finally, $\mathcal{R} := [Rc, J]$ is the J -anti-linear part of Rc , where Rc denotes the Riemann–Ricci curvature tensor Ric viewed as an endomorphism of the tangent bundle via g .

Key properties of this flow proved in [1] include parabolicity, short time existence and preservation of the almost Kähler property of ω and J . Furthermore, if the initial J_0 is integrable, i.e. (M^{2n}, ω_0, J_0) is Kähler, then P is the Kähler–Ricci form and $\partial_t J = 0$, so in this case SCF reduces to Kähler–Ricci flow.

In Section 2 we show that SCF on certain twistor fibrations over hyperbolic space lead to compact non-Kähler static solutions, while Section 3 presents explicit solutions to SCF of left-invariant structures on select nilmanifolds.

Acknowledgements: The author wishes to thank Joel Fine for the helpful discussions as well as for bringing SCF to his attention, Michel Cahern for pointing out the moment map trick in the proof of Proposition 1 and the FNRS for providing a generous “Aspirant” doctoral scholarship.

2 Compact non-Kähler Static Solutions to SCF

The SCF equations can be readily solved if $\partial_t \omega = \lambda \omega_0$, $\partial_t J = 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, in which case the flow acts by rescaling the metric: $\omega(t) = (1 + \lambda t) \omega_0$, $J(t) = J_0$. Such solutions are called *static* and in the Kähler setting this behaviour is exhibited by Kähler–Einstein metrics. We present examples of compact static solutions to SCF in dimensions $n(n + 1)$ which cannot be Kähler if $n > 1$. They are constructed from the twistor fibrations

$$\pi : Z_{2n} \rightarrow H^{2n},$$

where the fibre over each point in $2n$ -dimensional hyperbolic space H^{2n} consists of all almost complex structures compatible with the standard hyperbolic metric on H^{2n} inducing a fixed orientation. These spaces are examples of symplectic twistor spaces described by A. Reznikov in [5]. J. Fine and D. Panov showed in [2] that Z_{2n} can be realised as a coadjoint orbit. We follow their approach to define a symplectic structure ω and a compatible almost complex structure I on Z_{2n} and show that (Z_{2n}, ω, I) is a static solution to SCF. Furthermore, these static solutions descend to compact quotients of Z_{2n} with hyperbolic fundamental group which cannot support any Kähler structures if $n > 1$. We find that the flow shrinks the metric if $n > 2$, expands it if $n = 1$ and leaves it invariant in the case $n = 2$.

2.1 Coadjoint Orbit Description

Consider $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$, the identity component of the group of isometries of $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ with Lorentzian metric. Its Lie algebra is given by

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{u}^t \\ \mathbf{u} & A \end{pmatrix} \middle| \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, A \in \mathfrak{so}(2n) \right\}.$$

In this description, $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ can be seen as a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ defined as the stabiliser of $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. A choice of almost complex structure $J_0 \in \mathfrak{so}(2n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defines an element

$$\xi_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$$

and singles out a copy of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ inside $\mathrm{SO}(2n) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ as the stabiliser of ξ_0 under the adjoint action (the matrices in $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ commuting with ξ_0 are those with $\mathbf{u} = 0$

and $AJ_0 = J_0A$). Set

$$\mathcal{O}(\xi_0) \cong \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{U}(n)$$

to be the adjoint orbit of ξ_0 . The Killing form on $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ is non-degenerate and defines an isomorphism $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)^*$ intertwining the adjoint and coadjoint action of $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$, so $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ can be seen as a coadjoint orbit. Standard theory then endows $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ with a $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant symplectic structure ω .

An explicit description of the tangent space of $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ can be given with the help of the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *As a $\mathrm{U}(n)$ representation space, $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ admits the equivariant decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1) \cong \mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $\mathbb{C}^n = (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, J_0)$.

Proof. We only sketch the proof here and refer to [2] details. Let $U \in \mathrm{U}(n) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$. The adjoint action of U on $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Ad}_U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{u}^t \\ \mathbf{u} & A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (U\mathbf{u})^t \\ U\mathbf{u} & UAU^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

from which the equivariant splitting $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$ can be inferred. Those elements in $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ commuting with J_0 constitute $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ as a subset of $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$. The $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant complement of $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ can be naturally identified with $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ giving the desired decomposition. $\square$

Viewing $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ as $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{U}(n)$, the lemma implies that

$$T_{\xi_0} \mathcal{O}(\xi_0) \cong T_{E \cdot \mathrm{U}(n)}(\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{U}(n)) \cong T_E \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/T_E \mathrm{U}(n) \cong \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}^n,$$

from which it becomes apparent that the (real) dimension of $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ is $n(n+1)$. Since $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ is a homogenous space, the same description is valid for the tangent spaces at other points as well. However, the almost complex structure J determining the identification $\mathbb{C}^n \cong (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, J)$ will depend on the chosen point. The following consideration makes this clearer.

Observe that the different points in the adjoint orbit of ξ_0 under $\mathrm{SO}(2n) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ are of the form

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix},$$

where $J = AJ_0A^{-1}$ with $A \in \mathrm{SO}(2n)$. The stabiliser of the $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ -action is again $\mathrm{U}(n)$, so the orbit is given by $\mathrm{SO}(2n)/\mathrm{U}(n)$, which amounts to all possible choices of orientation preserving almost complex structures compatible with the given inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

From a slightly different point of view this can be formulated as follows: The inclusion $\mathrm{U}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)$ induces a fibre map $\pi : \mathcal{O}(\xi) \cong \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{U}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{SO}(2n) \cong$

H^{2n} with fibre isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(2n)/\mathrm{U}(n)$; the adjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ fibres over hyperbolic space with the fibre over a point $x \in H^{2n}$ consisting of all almost complex structures compatible with the hyperbolic metric on H^{2n} at x . This gives the identification $Z_{2n} \cong \mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$. From here on, Z_{2n} will be used to denote the adjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$, the corresponding coadjoint orbit, the homogenous space $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{U}(n)$ and the total space of the twistor fibration $\pi : Z_{2n} \rightarrow H^{2n}$.

If $(x, J) \in Z_{2n}$ with $x \in H^{2n}$ and J in the fibre over x , the tangent space at (x, J) is

$$T_{(x,J)}Z_{2n} \cong \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus (\mathbb{C}^n), \quad \mathbb{C}^n = (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, J).$$

We endow Z_{2n} with an almost complex structure I by demanding this identification to be $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. The resulting almost complex structure is the ‘‘Eells–Salamon’’ structure of the twistor space $Z_{2n} \rightarrow H^{2n}$. (cf. [6]).

As a coadjoint orbit, Z_{2n} has already been endowed with $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant symplectic form ω . It follows from homogeneous space description that $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant forms on Z_{2n} are in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant forms on $T_{\xi_0}Z_{2n} \cong \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$. In the following, we will show that the space of closed $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant real 2-forms on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$ is one-dimensional.

Lemma 2. *For $n > 1$ the space of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant real 2-forms on $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ is two-dimensional. Invariant forms are linear combinations of the standard hermitian forms on $P := \mathbb{C}^n$ and on $Q := \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$. If $n = 1$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant real 2-forms are multiples of the standard hermitian form on P .*

Proof. Let Ω be a real invariant 2-form on $P \oplus Q$. In the obvious notation Ω can be written as

$$\Omega = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Omega_{P \times P} & \Omega_{Q \times P} \\ \hline \Omega_{P \times Q} & \Omega_{Q \times Q} \end{array} \right).$$

As $\mathrm{U}(n)$ is compact, P and Q are equivalent to their dual representations. Furthermore, if $n > 1$, P and Q are irreducible and inequivalent, so by Schur’s lemma we have $\Omega_{Q \times P} = 0$, $\Omega_{P \times Q} = 0$ and $\Omega_{P \times P} = \lambda_1 \Omega_1$ and $\Omega_{Q \times Q} = \lambda_2 \Omega_2$, where Ω_1 and Ω_2 are the standard hermitian forms on P and Q respectively. Since Ω is real, so are λ_1, λ_2 .

If $n = 1$, then $Q = 0$ and a degenerate version of the above argument shows that $\Omega = \lambda_1 \Omega_1$ for $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

Corollary 1. *The ‘‘Eells–Salamon’’ almost complex structure I on Z_{2n} is compatible with the symplectic structure ω .*

Remark. If $n = 2$, this is a special case of Theorem 4.4 in [3].

Proof. At ξ_0 , I is given by the $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant standard complex structure of $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$, which is compatible with the standard hermitian forms on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$. As ω is a linear combination of the latter two, I and ω are compatible at ξ_0 . By $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariance of ω and I , the compatibility is global. $\square$

Proposition 1. *The space of closed $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant real 2-forms on Z_{2n} is one-dimensional consisting of real multiples of the standard symplectic form ω on the adjoint orbit Z_{2n} .*

Proof. Standard theory endows the adjoint orbit $Z_{2n} = \mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ with a $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant symplectic form ω and a moment map $\mu : Z_{2n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ which is the inclusion of the adjoint orbit. Let ω' be another $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant symplectic form on Z_{2n} and assume is not a real multiple of ω . As $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ is semi-simple, its symplectic action on the simply connected space (Z_{2n}, ω') admits a moment map $\mu' : Z_{2n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ whose image is the adjoint orbit of $\xi'_0 := \mu'(\xi_0)$. The elements ξ_0 and ξ'_0 are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ for $\xi'_0 = \lambda\xi_0$ would imply $\mu' = \lambda\mu$ and hence $\omega' = \lambda\omega$. Their span is a two-dimensional subspace of $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ on which the isotropy group $\mathrm{U}(n)$ of ξ_0 acts trivially, but the space of all elements in $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ on which $\mathrm{U}(n)$ acts trivially is one-dimensional, consisting of imaginary multiples of the identity matrix in $\mathfrak{u}(n) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$ (cf. Lemma 1). This is a contradiction, so ω' is a real multiple of ω .

In terms of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant real 2-forms $\Omega = \lambda_1\Omega_1 + \lambda_2\Omega_2$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ for $n > 1$, this means that closedness imposes a fixed ratio between λ_1 and λ_2 . In particular, neither Ω_1 nor Ω_2 can be closed and the only closed invariant real 2-forms are real multiples of ω . The case $n = 1$ is trivial. $\square$

2.2 Symplectic Curvature Flow on (Z_{2n}, ω)

In order to run SCF on Z_{2n} with the $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant almost Kähler structure (ω, I) serving as initial data, the Chern–Ricci curvature and the I -anti-invariant part of the Riemann–Ricci tensor need to be determined.

The Riemann–Ricci tensor Ric is determined by a $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant metric, so it is itself invariant. This is enough to see that Ric has to be I -invariant: If multiplication by i on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$ were represented by an element in $\mathrm{U}(n)$ this would be immediate. This is not the case, but there is an easy work-around. Set $z^{ij} := z^i \wedge z^j$ and consider the basis (z^{ij}, z_k) of $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$. Since Ric is symmetric bilinear, it is determined by its values on $((z^{ij}, 0), (z^{i'j'}, 0))$, $((0, z_k), (0, z_{k'}))$ and $((z^{ij}, 0), (0, z_k))$. For each of these pairs of arguments there exists an element $\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_n}) \in \mathbb{T}^n \subset \mathrm{U}(n)$ acting by multiplication by i on both, so Ric has to be I -invariant. Consequently, $\mathcal{R} = [\mathrm{Ric}, I] = 0$.

The Chern–Ricci tensor P is a closed $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant 2-form, so by Proposition 1, P is a multiple of ω . In [2] J. Fine and D. Panov determined the first Chern class of Z_{2n} : $c_1(Z_{2n}) = (n - 2)[\omega]$. As $(1/4\pi)P$ represents the first Chern class (P is $2i$ times the curvature of the Chern connection on the anti-canonical bundle, $i/2\pi$ times which represents the first Chern class), we have $P = (n - 2)\pi/4 \cdot \omega$. In particular, P has no $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$ parts.

With this result, SCF for (Z_{2n}, ω) becomes

$$\partial_t \omega(t) = \frac{\pi}{2}(2 - n) \cdot \omega(0), \quad \partial_t I = 0.$$

It is manifest that SCF collapses (Z_{2n}, ω) in finite time if $n > 2$, expands it if $n = 1$ and leaves the almost Kähler structure unchanged if $n = 2$.

2.3 Non-Kähler Quotients

The symplectic form ω and the almost complex structure I on Z_{2n} are $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ -invariant, so the almost Kähler structure will descent to quotients of Z_{2n} by subgroups $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$. In the adjoint orbit description of Z_{2n} , Γ acts by conjugation. Viewing $Z_{2n} \cong \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{U}(n)$ ($\mathrm{U}(n)$ acting from the right), this corresponds to Γ acting by left multiplication, so the actions of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ and Γ on $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ commute.

If one chooses $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)$ to be the fundamental group of a compact hyperbolic manifold M of dimension $2n$, one obtains two quotients: $\Gamma \backslash H^{2n} \cong \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{SO}(2n) =: M$ and $\Gamma \backslash Z_{2n} \cong \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SO}(2n, 1)/\mathrm{U}(n)$. The action of Γ on Z_{2n} and H^{2n} commutes with the projection $\pi : Z_{2n} \rightarrow H^{2n}$, so $\Gamma \backslash Z_{2n}$ fibres over M with fibre $\mathrm{SO}(2n)/\mathrm{U}(n)$. This shows that $\Gamma \backslash Z_{2n}$ is a fibre bundle with compact base and fibre, so it is itself compact. Furthermore, the fibre $\mathrm{SO}(2n)/\mathrm{U}(n)$ is connected and simply connected, so $\Gamma \backslash Z_{2n}$ and M have isomorphic fundamental groups $\pi_1(\Gamma \backslash Z_{2n}) \cong \pi_1(M) \cong \Gamma$, but compact Kähler manifolds cannot have fundamental group isomorphic to that of a compact hyperbolic manifold in dimension greater than 2 (see e.g. [11]). Hence, $\Gamma \backslash Z_{2n}$ cannot be Kähler if $n > 1$.

3 SCF on left-invariant structures on some four- and six-dimensional nilmanifolds

In the case of left-invariant almost Kähler structures on a nilpotent Lie group, SCF reduces to an ODE on the corresponding nilpotent Lie algebra. Moreover, if the structure coefficients of a connected, simply connected Lie group's Lie algebra can be chosen rational, the Lie group admits cocompact lattices (Theorem 7 in [7]). As non-abelian nilpotent Lie algebras are never formal (cf. [8]), taking quotients by such lattices results in compact non-Kähler manifolds on which we can hope to explicitly solve SCF.

This section presents such explicit solutions for SCF on three different nilalgebras. For the computations involved, the expression for the Chern–Ricci form provided in the following lemma is useful.

Lemma 3. *Let (M, g, J, ω) be an almost Kähler manifold. Denote by A the connection 1-form of the Levi–Civita connection in a local complex frame (a local frame in which J is constant). In that frame the Chern–Ricci form has the following expression:*

$$P = d \operatorname{tr}(AJ).$$

Proof. The Chern connection on an almost hermitian manifold (M, g, J, ω) is the unique connection ∇ with respect to which g and J are parallel and whose torsion has vanishing $(1, 1)$ -part. In the almost Kähler case it is given by $\nabla_X Y = D_X Y - \frac{1}{2}J(D_X J)Y$, where D denotes the Levi–Civita connection. If A and C are the connection 1-forms of the Levi–Civita connection and the Chern connection in a local complex frame, then the formula for the Chern connection can be expressed as

$$C = A - \frac{1}{2}J(DJ) = A - \frac{1}{2}J[A, J] = \frac{1}{2}(A - JAJ)$$

Denote by F the full curvature tensor of ∇ given by the endomorphism-valued 2-form $F = dC + C \wedge C$. The Chern–Ricci tensor is derived from F via $P_{kl} = \omega^{ij} F_{ijkl}$, where ij are the endomorphism indices (i lowered via the metric) and kl the form indices. Omitting the form indices, a brief calculation yields

$$P = \omega^{st} F_{st} = \omega_{it} F^{it} = g_{jt} J_i^j F^{it} = J_i^j F_j^i = \text{tr}(JF) .$$

Application of the 2-form $C \wedge C$ to a pair of tangent vectors u, v gives $(C \wedge C)(u, v) = [C_u, C_v]$. The fact that for two endomorphisms A, B one has $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ in conjunction with $[J, C] = 0$ implies

$$(\text{tr } JC \wedge C)(u, v) = \text{tr}(J[C_u, C_v]) = \text{tr}(JC_u C_v) - \text{tr}(JC_v C_u) = 0 ,$$

i.e. $\text{tr}(JC \wedge C) = 0$. Since $dJ = 0$, the remaining contribution to the Chern–Ricci form is

$$P = \text{tr}(JdC) = d \text{tr}(JC) = \frac{1}{2} d[\text{tr}(JA) + \text{tr}(AJ)] = d \text{tr}(AJ)$$

as claimed. $\square$

We want to apply this result to left-invariant almost Kähler structures on Lie groups, in which case left-invariant frames are complex frames. With the help of the next lemma, the Chern–Ricci form P can be expressed directly in terms of the Lie algebra and the almost complex structure.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a Lie group and (g, J, ω) a left-invariant almost Kähler structure. If A is the connection 1-form of the Levi–Civita connection in a left-invariant frame, then for any left-invariant vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has*

$$\text{tr } A_Z J = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\text{ad}_Z \circ J + J \circ \text{ad}_Z) + \text{tr } \text{ad}_Z .$$

Proof. Viewing the almost Kähler structure (g, J, ω) as algebraic data on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G , the condition that the alternating bilinear form $\omega = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ be closed means that $0 = d\omega(X, Y, Z) = -\omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega([X, Z], Y) - \omega([Y, Z], X)$ for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Now let (e_i) be an orthonormal left-invariant frame of $\mathfrak{g}$. Using the Kozul-formula

$$2g(Y, A_Z X) = g([Z, X], Y) - g([Z, Y], X) - g([X, Y], Z) ,$$

the desired result follows from a straightforward computation:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \text{tr } A_Z J &= 2 \sum_i g(e_i, A_Z J e_i) \\ &= \sum_i g([Z, J e_i], e_i) - g([Z, e_i], J e_i) - g([J e_i, e_i], Z) \\ &= \sum_i g(\text{ad}_Z \circ J(e_i), e_i) + g(J \circ \text{ad}_Z(e_i), e_i) - g([J e_i, e_i], Z) \\ &= \text{tr}(\text{ad}_Z \circ J + J \circ \text{ad}_Z) - \sum_i g([J e_i, e_i], Z) . \end{aligned}$$

By the closedness of ω , the second term on the right hand side can be expressed as $2 \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad}_{JZ}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\sum_i g([Je_i, e_i], Z) &= -\sum_i \omega([Je_i, e_i], JZ) \\
 &= \sum_i \omega([Je_i, JZ], e_i) - \omega([e_i, JZ], Je_i) \\
 &= \sum_i g(J[Je_i, JZ], e_i) - g(J[e_i, JZ], Je_i) \\
 &= \sum_i g(\operatorname{ad}_{JZ} Je_i, Je_i) + g(\operatorname{ad}_{JZ} e_i, e_i) \\
 &= 2 \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad}_{JZ} .
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Since for any left-invariant 1-form $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ the relation $d\theta(X, Y) = -\theta([X, Y])$ holds, Lemmas 3 and 4 combine to

$$P(X, Y) = (d \operatorname{tr} AJ)(X, Y) = -\operatorname{tr} A_{[X, Y]} J = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} (\operatorname{ad}_{[X, Y]} \circ J + J \circ \operatorname{ad}_{[X, Y]}) - \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad}_{J[X, Y]} .$$

This has a very useful consequence:

Proposition 2 (L. Vezzoni). ¹ *All left-invariant almost Kähler structures on two-step nilpotent Lie groups are Chern–Ricci flat.*

Proof. Let G two-step nilpotent Lie group with fixed almost Kähler structure and $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G . The assumption that G is two-step then means that $[[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], \mathfrak{g}] = 0$, i.e. for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $\operatorname{ad}_{[X, Y]} = 0$, so

$$P(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} (\operatorname{ad}_{[X, Y]} \circ J + J \circ \operatorname{ad}_{[X, Y]}) - \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad}_{J[X, Y]} = -\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad}_{J[X, Y]} .$$

Now choose an orthonormal basis (e_i) of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the property that each e_j lies either in $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ or in $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$. Then the summands of

$$\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad}_Z = \sum_i g([Z, e_i], e_i)$$

vanish since either $e_i \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and therefore $[\cdot, e_i] = 0$ (two-step property) or $e_i \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$ and $g([\cdot, e_i], e_i) = 0$. □

It should be noted that on manifolds with Chern–Ricci flat almost Kähler structure symplectic curvature flow reduces to anti-complexified Ricci flow introduced by H.V. Le and G. Wang in [9].

¹This was brought to the author's attention by Luigi Vezzoni in a private conversation. His proof will be published in *A note on canonical Ricci forms on 2-step nilmanifolds* in Proc. AMS.

3.1 Kodaira–Thurston manifold

The simplest example of a symplectic nilmanifold is the Kodaira–Thurston manifold which can be realised as a product of S^1 and the quotient of the three-dimensional Heisenberg group

$$H_3 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

by the obvious integral lattice $\Gamma := H_3 \cap \text{Gl}(3, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_3$. Topologically, the Kodaira–Thurston manifold is a S^1 -bundle over a three-torus where the fibers are given by the central direction in H_3 and the base by the two unpreferred directions in H_3 and the additional S^1 direction.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ of $H_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ is given by generators $e_1, \dots, e_4$ with $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$ as the only nontrivial Lie bracket. Equivalently, of the dual basis vectors $e^1, \dots, e^4$ of $(\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R})^*$ the only one whose corresponding left invariant 1-form is not closed is e^3 with $de^3 = -e^1 \wedge e^2$. By Proposition 2, any left-invariant almost Kähler structure defined on the 2-step nilalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is Chern–Ricci flat and SCF leaves the symplectic form of an initial left-invariant almost Kähler structure unchanged. The evolution equation then is just $\partial_t J = \mathcal{R}$ or equivalently $\partial_t g = -\text{Ric} + \text{Ric}(J \cdot, J \cdot)$ (the equivalence can be seen by observing $0 = \partial_t \omega = (\partial_t g)(J \cdot, \cdot) + g(\partial_t J \cdot, \cdot)$).

Consider the following two parameter family of almost Kähler structures (matrices interpreted in the e_i/e^j bases) with positive parameters α, β :

$$\omega = e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4,$$

$$J = (J_j^i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ \alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad g = (g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing the connection 1-form A of the Levi–Civita connection D via the Koszul formula gives

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha^2 e^3 & \alpha^2 e^2 & 0 \\ -\alpha \beta e^3 & 0 & -\alpha \beta e^1 & 0 \\ -e^2 & e^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Ricci Tensor is then given by $\text{Ric}_{jk} = R_{jkl}^k$, where $R = dA + A \wedge A$ is full the Riemann curvature tensor:

$$\text{Ric} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^3 \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, SCF is determined by $\partial_t g = -\text{Ric} + \text{Ric}(J\cdot, J\cdot)$, so

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\alpha^3\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^2\beta^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The resulting equations $\partial_t \alpha = -\alpha^3\beta$, $\partial_t \beta = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\beta^2$ can easily be integrated observing that $\partial_t(\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}\beta^{\frac{4}{3}}) = 0$. The general solution for initial values $\alpha(0) = \alpha_0$, $\beta(0) = \beta_0$ is given by

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 \left(1 + \frac{5}{2}\alpha_0^2\beta_0 \cdot t\right)^{-\frac{2}{5}}, \quad \beta(t) = \beta_0 \left(1 + \frac{5}{2}\alpha_0^2\beta_0 \cdot t\right)^{-\frac{1}{5}}.$$

Geometrically, this means that symplectic curvature flow shrinks the central directions of $H_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ while expanding the unpreferred directions at inverse rates. The shrinking of the central direction in H_3 and that of $\mathbb{R}$ occur at different rates, the former collapsing faster than the latter. The corresponding unequal expansion of the unpreferred directions e_1, e_2 is due to the choice of symplectic form which couples e_1, e_3 and e_2, e_4 .

Two quantities whose behaviour under SCF might be of interest are the (pointwise) norms of the Nijenhuis tensor and the Riemann curvature tensor. One finds

$$\|N\|^2 = 8\alpha^2\beta = \frac{8\alpha_0^2\beta_0}{1 + \frac{5}{2}\alpha_0^2\beta_0 \cdot t}, \quad \|R\|^2 = \frac{11}{4}\alpha^4\beta^2 = \frac{11}{4} \frac{\alpha_0^4\beta_0^2}{(1 + \frac{5}{2}\alpha_0^2\beta_0 \cdot t)^2}.$$

Symplectic curvature flow on the Kodaira–Thurston manifold has also been considered in [9] as an instance of anti-complexified Ricci flow, but it appears the example therein is faulty (e.g. the given solution doesn't satisfy the initial conditions) and we felt it would be worth including our own computation.

3.2 Sum of two Heisenberg algebras

The computation is similar for the product of two Heisenberg groups. The generators $e_1, \dots, e_6$ of its Lie algebra can be chosen such that $[e_1, e_2] = e_5$ and $[e_3, e_4] = e_6$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_3$ is two step, so Lemma 2 can be applied. In the following, all matrices are with respect to the e_i/e^j bases.

Consider the following three parameter family of almost Kähler structures for positive parameters α, β, γ :

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= e^1 \wedge e^5 + e^2 \wedge e^4 + e^3 \wedge e^6, \\ g &= \alpha^{-1}e^1 \otimes e^1 + \beta^{-1}e^2 \otimes e^2 + \gamma^{-1}e^3 \otimes e^3 + \beta e^4 \otimes e^4 + \alpha e^5 \otimes e^5 + \gamma e^6 \otimes e^6, \\ J &= \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 & -\alpha & 0 \\ & 0_3 & & -\beta & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & -\gamma \\ 0 & \beta^{-1} & 0 & & & \\ \alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 & & 0_3 & \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-1} & & & \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$, the flow equation of SCF can be written as $\partial_t g = -\text{Ric} + \text{Ric}(J\cdot, J\cdot)$, where the Ricci tensor is computed from the connection 1-form of the Levi-Civita connection via the full Riemann curvature tensor. We obtain

$$\text{Ric} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma\beta^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^3\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^3\beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\partial_t g = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma^2\beta^{-2} + \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\gamma\beta^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^2\beta^2 + \gamma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^3\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma^3\beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The resulting equations for α, β, γ are

$$\partial_t \alpha = -\alpha^3 \beta, \quad \partial_t \beta = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \beta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2, \quad \partial_t \gamma = -\gamma^3 \beta^{-1}$$

with initial conditions $\alpha(0) = \alpha_0, \beta(0) = \beta_0, \gamma(0) = \gamma_0$. The equation for $\partial_t \beta$ can be rewritten as $2\partial_t \log \beta = \partial_t \log \alpha/\gamma$, so $\beta/\beta_0 = (\alpha/\alpha_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma/\gamma_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. With this expression for β the other two equations read

$$\partial_t \alpha = -L \alpha^{\frac{7}{2}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \partial_t \gamma = -L^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{7}{2}} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $L = \beta_0(\gamma_0/\alpha_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In the case $\beta_0 = \gamma_0/\alpha_0$, these equations can be integrated without much difficulty and the solutions are

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0(1 + 2\alpha_0\gamma_0 \cdot t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \beta(t) = \beta_0, \quad \gamma(t) = \gamma_0(1 + 2\alpha_0\gamma_0 \cdot t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As on the Kodaira–Thurston manifold, symplectic curvature flow shrinks the central directions in each of the copies of H_3 and expands the base direction coupled to the central ones by the symplectic form at the inverse rate.

For general initial conditions, integration of the equations for α and γ becomes more difficult. One may substitute $\xi := L^{-1}\alpha^{-3}, \eta := L\gamma^{-3}$. Then $\partial_t \xi = \partial_t \eta$, so $\xi = \eta + c$, where $c = L^{-1}\alpha_0^{-3} - L\gamma_0^{-3}$. The case $c = 0$ corresponds exactly to the “easy” case considered previously. The equation for η reads

$$\partial_t \eta = 3\eta^{\frac{1}{6}}(\eta + c)^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Integration is possible in terms of hypergeometric series, but the author has not pursued the analysis. Qualitatively, the behaviour is expected to be similar to the easy case with

the central directions collapsing, the two base directions coupled to the central directions by the symplectic form expanding at inverse rates and the remaining two base directions coupled to each other tending to a finite scale.

The pointwise norms of the Nijenhuis and Riemann tensors are given by

$$\|N\|^2 = 8(\alpha^2\beta + \gamma^2\beta^{-1}), \quad \|R\|^2 = \frac{11}{4}(\alpha^4\beta^2 + \gamma^4\beta^{-2}).$$

In the case where $\beta_0 = \gamma_0/\alpha_0$, these reduce to

$$\|N\|^2 = 16\alpha\gamma = 16\frac{\alpha_0\gamma_0}{1 + 2\alpha_0\gamma_0 \cdot t}, \quad \|R\|^2 = \frac{11}{2} \frac{\alpha_0^2\gamma_0^2}{(1 + 2\alpha_0\gamma_0 \cdot t)^2}.$$

3.3 The nilalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_4$

The situation changes for the nilalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_4$ with generators $e_1, \dots, e_4$ and $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$ and $[e_2, e_3] = e_4$ as the only nonvanishing commutators. This nilalgebra is three-step, so Lemma 2 doesn't hold and SCF turns out to evolve both ω and J non-trivially.

The initial almost Kähler structure considered is $\omega_0 = e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4$ and $J_0 = e_3 \otimes e^1 + e_4 \otimes e^2 - e_1 \otimes e^3 - e_2 \otimes e^4$. $e^i \in \mathfrak{n}_4^*$. The symplectic form ω_0 is closed since $de^1 = de^2 = 0$ and $de^3 = -e^1 \wedge e^2$ and $de^4 = -e^2 \wedge e^3$.

To run symplectic curvature flow, $\partial_t(\omega, J)$ needs to be known on a sufficiently large space of almost Kähler structures on $\mathfrak{n}_4$. For computational convenience the following family of almost Kähler structures was chosen:

$$\omega = e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4 + \gamma e^1 \wedge e^2, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a' & b' & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & c' \\ b & 0 & 0 & d' \\ 0 & c & d & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix J is to be understood as an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the e_i/e^j bases. The fact that J is an almost complex structure imposes algebraic relations on $a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d'$:

$$\begin{aligned} aa' + bb' &= -1, & ac + bd &= 0 \\ aa' + cc' &= -1, & a'c' + b'd' &= 0 \\ bb' + dd' &= -1, & ab' + c'd &= 0 \\ cc' + dd' &= -1, & a'b + cd' &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The equations on the right hand side are all equivalent in light of the ones on the left, of which only three are independent. Furthermore, the compatibility condition $\omega(J\cdot, J\cdot) = \omega$ fixes γ by $b'\gamma = a' + d$, so the above defines a four-dimensional space of almost Kähler structures on $\mathfrak{n}_4$.

The metric associated to ω, J in the e_i/e^j basis is given by

$$(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & 0 & 0 & g_{14} \\ 0 & g_{22} & g_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{23} & g_{33} & 0 \\ g_{14} & 0 & 0 & g_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b + \gamma a & 0 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & c - \gamma a' & -a' & 0 \\ 0 & -a' & -b' & 0 \\ -a & 0 & 0 & -c' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Infinitesimal changes of these almost Kähler structures under SCF are determined by the Chern–Ricci form and the Ricci curvature (more precisely, the $(2,0) + (0,2)$ -part of the Ricci curvature, since $2g^{-1} \text{Ric}^{(2,0)+(0,2)} = J\mathcal{R}$).

To compute them, let D denote the Levi–Civita connection of the left-invariant metric g . Its connection 1-form A in the e_i/e^j is the element of $\text{End}(\mathfrak{n}_4) \otimes \mathfrak{n}_4^*$ given by

$$2g(e_k, D_{e_j} e_i) = 2g(e_k, A_{ij}^l e_l) = g([e_j, e_i], e_k) - g([e_j, e_k], e_i) - g([e_i, e_k], e_j)$$

or, more explicitly, by

$$\begin{aligned} 2d_{14}d_{23}A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{23}(g_{33} - g_{14})d_{14} & -g_{33}(g_{33} - g_{14})d_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{22}(g_{33} - g_{14})d_{14} & g_{23}(g_{33} - g_{14})d_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^1 \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{23}g_{44}d_{14} & g_{33}g_{44}d_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{22}g_{44}d_{14} & -g_{23}g_{44}d_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^4 \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2g_{23}g_{44}d_{23} & g_{33}g_{44}d_{23} & 0 \\ -g_{23}(g_{33} - g_{14})d_{14} & 0 & 0 & g_{23}g_{44}d_{14} \\ -(d_{23} + g_{22}g_{14} - g_{23}^2)d_{14} & 0 & 0 & -g_{22}g_{44}d_{14} \\ 0 & -2g_{23}g_{14}d_{23} & (d_{14} - g_{14}g_{33})d_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^2 \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{33}g_{44}d_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ -g_{33}(g_{33} - g_{14})d_{14} & 0 & 0 & g_{33}g_{44}d_{14} \\ g_{23}(g_{33} - g_{14})d_{14} & 0 & 0 & -g_{23}g_{44}d_{14} \\ 0 & -(d_{14} + g_{14}g_{33})d_{23} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^3. \end{aligned}$$

Here $d_{14} = g_{11}g_{44} - g_{14}^2$ and $d_{23} = g_{22}g_{33} - g_{23}^2$. Observe $d_{14}d_{23} = \det g_{ij} = \det \omega_{ij} \cdot \det J$. For ω, J in the considered family, this is equal to $\det J = \det J_0 = 1$.

With A known, the Riemann curvature F_D is then given by the endomorphism valued 2-form $A \wedge A + dA$. The Ricci curvature viewed as endomorphism of $\mathfrak{n}_4$ by means of g turns out to be

$$Rc = g^{-1} \text{Ric} = \begin{pmatrix} -g_{33}^2 g_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{44}(g_{33}^2 + d_{14}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2g_{23}g_{33}g_{44} & g_{44}(g_{33}^2 - d_{14}) & 0 \\ g_{14}(g_{33}^2 + d_{14}) & 0 & 0 & g_{44}d_{14} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing the commutator $[Rc, J]$ and expressing the g_{ij} in terms of entries of J yields for $2\mathcal{R}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a'c'(b'^2 - d_{14}) & b'c'(2b'^2 - d_{14}) & 0 \\ ac'(b'^2 + 2d_{14}) & 0 & 0 & c'^2(b'^2 + 2d_{14}) \\ -2aa'b'c' - bc'(2b'^2 - d_{14}) + ad'(b'^2 + d_{14}) & 0 & 0 & -2ca'b'c' - d'c'(b'^2 - 2d_{14}) \\ 0 & -aa'(b'^2 + d_{14}) - cc'(b'^2 + 2d_{14}) + 2da'b'c' & -ab'(b'^2 + d_{14}) + dc'(b'^2 - 2d_{14}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

The second quantity required to write out the SCF equations explicitly is the Chern–Ricci tensor P , for which a convenient expression was derived in Lemma 3:

$$P = \text{tr}(JdA).$$

With the A given above it is $P = c'e^1 \wedge e^2$. Furthermore,

$$-2g^{-1}P^{(2,0)+(0,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b'c' & 0 & 0 \\ c'^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d'c' + ac' & 0 & 0 & c'^2 \\ 0 & b'd' + ab' & -b'c' & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Along with the expression for $\mathcal{R}$ found in Equation (1) this constitutes the evolution equation $\partial_t J = -2g^{-1}P^{(2,0)+(0,2)} + \mathcal{R}$. Setting $y(t) = (1 + 5/2 \cdot t)^{1/5}$, the explicit solution to this ODE with the initial condition $J(0) = J_0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a &= y^{-1} - y^{-3}, & b &= 2y^{-1} - y^{-3} \\ c &= 2y - y^{-1}, & d &= -y + y^{-1} \\ a' &= -y + y^{-1}, & b' &= -y^{-1} \\ c' &= -y^{-3}, & d' &= y^{-1} - y^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

For the evolution of ω according to $\partial_t \omega = -2P$ with $\omega(0) = \omega_0$ one obtains

$$\omega(t) = e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4 + 2(y^2 - 1)e^1 \wedge e^2$$

and the metric evolves as

$$(g_{ij}) = g(e_i, e_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 2y - 2y^{-1} + y^{-1} & 0 & 0 & -y^{-1} + y^{-3} \\ 0 & 2y^3 - 2y + y^{-1} & y - y^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & y - y^{-1} & y^{-1} & 0 \\ -y^{-1} + y^{-3} & 0 & 0 & y^{-3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Nijenhuis tensor (N_{ij}) in the e_i/e^j basis is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (2y^{-4} - y^{-6})(e_2 + e_3) & -(y^{-4} - y^{-6})(e_2 + e_3) & y^{-4}(e_1 - e_4) \\ -(2y^{-4} - y^{-6})(e_2 + e_3) & 0 & -y^{-2}(e_1 - e_4) & -(y^{-4} - y^{-6})(e_2 + e_3) \\ (y^{-4} - y^{-6})(e_2 + e_3) & y^{-2}(e_1 - e_4) & 0 & -y^{-6}(e_2 + e_3) \\ -y^{-4}(e_1 - e_4) & (y^{-4} - y^{-6})(e_2 + e_3) & y^{-6}(e_2 + e_3) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

from which its norm can be computed with a bit of work. The leading order turns out to be y^{-5} or equivalently t^{-1} as in the Kodaira–Thurston case.

4 Outlook

It has been conjectured in [1] that SCF exists for as long as the cohomology class of $\omega(t)$ stays inside the symplectic cone $\mathcal{C} \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. In the case of left-invariant almost Kähler structures on Lie groups, the tangent bundle is trivial and the first Chern

class, represented by a multiple of P vanishes. This means that the symplectic class is stable under SCF and the conjecture then says that the flow should exist for all times. We have confirmed the long time existence for the examples examined in the second part of this article and it would be interesting to see whether this is true in general for SCF on left-invariant almost Kähler structures on Lie groups. In any case, one might hope to express the limiting structure or the singularity formation in terms of the initial data, ideally of the symplectic class and the Lie algebra.

It is known that, topologically, compact Nilmanifolds are iterated torus bundles (cf [10]). In the cases examined in this article, it seems that — in some imprecise sense — these fibres collapse under SCF. Studying the interaction between the iterated bundle structure and the flow might help answer the questions on the limiting structures and long time existence of SCF.

There are other examples of symplectic manifolds with vanishing first Chern class coming from hyperbolic geometry. They are resolutions of orbifold twistor spaces of hyperbolic four-orbifolds (cf. [3] and [4]). It would be interesting to study SCF and confirm the conjecture in these cases.

References

- [1] J. Streets and G. Tian, *Symplectic curvature flow*. [arXiv:1012.2104v1](#), 2010.
- [2] J. Fine and D. Panov, *Hyperbolic geometry and non-Kähler manifolds with trivial canonical bundle*. *Geom. Topol.* 14(3), p1723-1763, 2010.
- [3] J. Fine and D. Panov, *Symplectic Calabi–Yau manifolds, minimal surfaces and the hyperbolic geometry of the conifold*. *J. Differential Geom.* 82(1), p155-205, 2009.
- [4] J. Fine and D. Panov, *The diversity of symplectic Calabi–Yau six-manifolds*. [arXiv:1108.5944v2](#), 2011.
- [5] A. G. Reznikov, *Symplectic twistor spaces*. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 11(2):109-118, 1993.
- [6] J. Eells and S. Salamon, *Twistorial construction of harmonic maps of surfaces into four-manifolds*. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* 4, 12(4) ,p589-640, 1985.
- [7] A. I. Mal'cev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces* (Russian). *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Mat.* 13, p9-32, 1949.
- [8] Keizo Hasegawa, *Minimal models of nilmanifolds*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 106, p65-71, 1989
- [9] H.V. Le and G. Wang, *Anti-complexified Ricci flow on compact symplectic manifolds*. *J. Reine Angew. Math* 530, p17-31, 2001.
- [10] Sönke Rollenkse , *Geometry of nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structure an deformations in the large*. [arXiv:0901.3120v1](#), 2009.
- [11] D. Toledo, *Rigidity theorems in Kähler geometry and fundamental groups of varieties*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.